**Notation:** For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $m^2 - 4n < 0$ ; let  $P_{[m,n]}(X) = X^2 + mX + n$ . In particular,  $P_{[0,1]}(X) = X^2 + 1$ . For any  $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $b^2 - 4c < 0$ ; let  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$  be the field over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the operations: (p,q) + (r,s) = (p+r,q+s) and  $(p,q) \cdot (r,s) = (pr - c \cdot qs, ps + qr - b \cdot qs)$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$  is the standard construction of complex numbers.

We note that the field  $\mathbb{R}[X]/(X^2 + bX + c)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$  under the mapping  $(p+qX) \mapsto (p,q)$ . Further, we can embed  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$  with the mapping  $t \mapsto (t,0)$ . Similarly, we can embed  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}[X]$  with the mapping  $P(X) = \sum a_i X^i \mapsto \sum (a_i, 0) X^i$ . Henceforth we will only deal with elements in  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$  and polynomials in  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}[x]$ , respectively. For notational convenience we may write t or P(x), to denote their images under the above mappings.

**Claim 1:**  $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$  under the mapping  $F_{[b,c]} : \mathbb{C}_{[0,1]} \to \mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$  defined as:  $F_{[b,c]}(p,q) = (p + q \frac{b}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}, q \frac{2}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}).$  **Proof:** It suffices to check that this map preserves field operations.

Claim 2: Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $m^2 - 4n < 0$ ; and let  $P_{[m,n]}(X) = X^2 + mX + n$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}, P_{[m,n]}(x) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$  if and only if  $P_{[m,n]}(F_{[b,c]}(x)) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$ . **Proof:** It is enough to note that  $F_{[b,c]}$  keeps the reals m, n unchanged, hence it commutes with  $P_{[m,n]}$ .

Along with F, it is useful to define another transformation: For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $m^2 - 4n < 0$ ; we define  $G_{[m,n]}(x) = -\frac{m}{2} + x\frac{\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{2}$ ; for any  $x \in \mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$ . Note that G is independent of the choice of domain  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$ .

**Claim 3:** For any  $x \in \mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$ ,  $P_{[0,1]}(x) = x^2 + 1 = 0$  if and only if  $P_{[m,n]}(G_{[m,n]}(x)) = 0$ . **Proof:** It suffices to check that  $x^2 + 1 = 0$  if and only if  $\left(-\frac{m}{2} + x\frac{\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{2}\right)^2 + m\left(-\frac{m}{2} + x\frac{\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{2}\right) + n = 0$ .

Claim 4 Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $m^2 - 4n < 0$ . Then the the roots of  $P_{[m,n]}(X) = X^2 + mX + n$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$  are  $(u, v) = (p + q \frac{b}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}, q \frac{2}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}})$  and  $(u, v) = (p - q \frac{b}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}, -q \frac{2}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}})$ ; where  $p = \frac{-m}{2}$  and  $q = \frac{\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{2}$ . **Proof 1:** We know by standard theory, that the roots of  $P_{[m,n]}(x) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$  are  $(u, v) = (\frac{-m}{2}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{2})$ . The result follows by applying claim 2.

**Proof 2:** If  $x = (u, v) \in \mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$  such that  $P_{[m,n]}(x) = 0$ , then  $x^2 + mx + n = 0$  implies:  $(u, v) \cdot (u, v) + (m, 0) \cdot (u, v) + (n, 0) = (u^2 - cv^2 + mu + n, 2uv - bv^2 + mv) = (0, 0)$ . Noting that v cannot be zero, from the second component we get  $u = \frac{bv-m}{2}$ . On substitution into the first component, and simplification, we get  $v^2(b^2 - 4c) = m^2 - 4n$ . This directly leads to the two solutions given in the claim.

Claim 2 allows us to change the domain while keeping the equation the same; whereas Claim 3 allows us to change the equation while keeping the domain the same. Claim 4 directly gives us the roots of any equation in any domain. Along with the known fact that  $P_{[0,1]}(x) = x^2 + 1 = 0$  has the roots (0,1), (0,-1) in  $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$ ;

we can write the roots of  $P_{[0,1]}(x)$ ,  $P_{[b,c]}(x)$  and  $P_{[m,n]}(x)$  in each of the domains  $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$ :

Equation	Roots in $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$	Roots in $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$
$P_{[0,1]}(x) = x^2 + 1 = 0$	(0,1), (0,-1)	$\left(\frac{b}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}\right), \left(\frac{-b}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}, \frac{-2}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}\right)$
$P_{[b,c]}(x) = x^2 + bx + c = 0$	$\left(\frac{-b}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}{2}\right), \left(\frac{-b}{2}, \frac{-\sqrt{4c-b^2}}{2}\right)$	(0,1), (-b,-1)
$P_{[m,n]}(x) = x^2 + mx + n = 0$	$\left(\frac{-m}{2},\frac{\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{2}\right),\left(\frac{-m}{2},\frac{-\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{2}\right)$	$\left(\frac{-m}{2} + \frac{b\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{2\sqrt{4c-b^2}}, \frac{\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}\right), \left(\frac{-m}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{4n-m^2}}{2}\right)$

The columns for  $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$  are related by  $F_{[b,c]}(p,q) = (p + q \frac{b}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}, q \frac{2}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}})$ and its inverse map  $F_{[b,c]}^{-1}(p,q) = (p - q \frac{b}{2}, q \frac{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}{2})$ . Similarly, the rows for  $P_{[0,1]}(x)$  and  $P_{[b,c]}(x)$  are related by  $G_{[b,c]}(p,q) = (-\frac{b}{2} + p \frac{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}{2}, q \frac{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}{2})$ and its inverse map  $G_{[b,c]}^{-1}(p,q) = (\frac{b}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}} + p \frac{2}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}, q \frac{2}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}})$ .

Further, if we denote each  $(p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  by the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & q \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $F_{[b,c]}$  can be interpreted as left-multiplication by  $\mathcal{F} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-b}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{4c-b^2}} \end{bmatrix}$ ; whereas  $G_{[b,c]}$  can be interpreted as right-multiplication by  $\mathcal{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-b}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{4c-b^2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Now, we observe that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}^{-1}$ ; hence if  $\mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , then we can rewrite the above table in matrix notation as:

Equation	Roots in $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$	Roots in $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$
$P_{[0,1]}(x) = x^2 + 1 = 0$	$\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J}$	$\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{I},\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{J}$
$P_{[b,c]}(x) = x^2 + bx + c = 0$	$\mathcal{IG},\mathcal{JG}$	$\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{J}\mathcal{G}$

Consequently, if we now multiply the matrix for a root of  $P_{[0,1]}$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{[b,c]}$ , with the matrix for the corresponding root of  $P_{[b,c]}$  in  $\mathbb{C}_{[0,1]}$ ; we get  $\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{I}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{I}$ ; and similarly  $\mathcal{G}^{-1}\mathcal{J} \cdot \mathcal{I}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{I}$ .